RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

10-26-2006

OCT 2 6 2006

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re United States Patent Application of:		Docket No.:	4179-123
Applicants:	SHAH, TILAK M.	Conf. No.:	4204
Application No.:	10/622,275	Art Unit:	3763
Date Filed:	July 18, 2003	Examiner:	Catherine S. Williams
Title:	INFLATABLE DUAL BALLOON CATHETER	Customer No.:	23448

## FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CERTIFICATE ATTN: Examiner Catherine S. Williams Fax No. (571) 273-8300

I hereby certify that this document, along with any enclosures identified herein, is being filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, via facsimile transmission to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date specified below, to United States Patent and Trademark Office facsimile transmission number (571) 273-8300.

2	
Number of Pages (including cover)	
Vanit & Great,	
Vincent K. Gustafson	
October 26, 2006	
Date	

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2006 IN U.S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/622,275

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

08:17:31 p.m.

OCT 2 6 2006

4179-123

10-26-2006

This responds to the Office Communication dated October 6, 2006 in which Applicant was requested to file a statement of the substance of the interview conducted on September 29, 2006 in the above-referenced application by the deadline of November 6, 2006.

The undersigned telephoned the examiner on September 29, 2006 to discuss the Office Action dated August 29, 2006. In that Office Action, the examiner stated

"the arguments are not persuasive, the hemostatic material of the Lentz reference is capable of treating hemorrhage conditions. The current claims [50 and 52-56] lack enough structural and functional language to overcome the prior art of record"

The undersigned identified a problem with the rejection of independent claim 50 in that such claim did not recite any "hemostatic material," which was indicated to be the basis for maintaining the rejection of the pending claims.

The examiner acknowledged that claim 50 did not recite any hemostatic material, and agreed to re-evaluate the rejection the pending claims 50 and 52-56 over the cited art.

Respectfully submitted,

Vincent K. Gustafson

Reg. No. 46,182

Attorney for Applicants

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY/ TECHNOLOGY LAW Phone: (919) 419-9350 Fax: (919) 419-9354 Attorney File No.: 4179-123

The USPTO is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment of fees properly payable for this document to Deposit Account No. 08-3284